arXiv:math/0601610v2 [math.AC] 19 Apr 2006

GRADED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY: ATTACHED AND ASSOCIATED PRIMES, ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS

MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI AND ALIREZA NAZARI

Abstract. Assume that $R = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_i$ is a homogeneous graded Noetherian ring, and that M is a $\mathbb{Z}$–graded R–module, where $\mathbb{N}_0$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}$) denote the set all non–negative integers (resp. integers). The set of all homogeneous attached prime ideals of the top non–vanishing local cohomology module of a finitely generated module M, $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M)$, with respect to the irrelevant ideal $R_+ := \bigoplus_{i\geq 1} R_i$ and the set of associated primes of $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ is studied. The asymptotic behavior of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ for $s \geq f(M)$ is discussed, where $f(M)$ is the finiteness dimension of M. It is shown that $\mathrm{H}^h_{R_+}(M)$ is tame if $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ is Artinian for all $i > h$.

1. Introduction

Throughout $R = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_i$ is a homogeneous positively graded Noetherian ring, so that $R = R_0[x_1, ..., x_t]$ for some $x_1, ..., x_t \in R_1$, $R_+ := \bigoplus_{i\geq 1} R_i$ is the irrelevant ideal of R, and $M = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_i$ is a graded R–module which is finitely generated whenever it is explicitly stated. Denote by $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ the ith local cohomology module of M with respect to R_+. It is well–known that $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ inherits a natural grading and its nth components $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)_n$ is finitely generated R_0–module for all n and is zero for all $n \gg 0$.

Set $c := \mathrm{cd}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)) \neq 0\}$ to be the cohomological dimension of a finitely generated module M with respect to R_+. In section 2 we study ${}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M))$, the set all homogeneous attached prime ideals of $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M)$ and we will show that if $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ is local, then the set of maximal elements of it, $\mathrm{Max}({}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M)))$, is a finite set which is equal to $\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M))$.

In section 3, we study $\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$, for $s \geq 0$. We first show that if K is a module (not necessarily finite) over a Noetherian ring, then for each ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of S and each non-negative integer s, we have

$$\mathrm{Ass}_S(\mathrm{H}^s_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)) \subseteq \bigcup_{0\leq j<s} \mathrm{Ass}_S(\mathrm{Ext}^{s-j+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))/L_j) \cup \mathrm{Ass}_S(\mathrm{Ext}^s_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K)/L)$$

for some submodules $L, L_0, \cdots, L_{s-1}$ of their appropriate modules. When M is a graded R–module ($R = R_0[R_1]$ is, as usual, a homogeneous Noetherian graded ring) and the modules

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13D07 .

Key words and phrases. graded local cohomology, attached primes, associated primes, asymptotic behaviors, tameness.

The research of the first author was in part supported from IPM (No. 00000000).

Teacher Training University, Tehran, Iran and Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Tehran, Iran.

dibaeimt@ipm.ir

nazaria@ipm.ir.

$\mathrm{Ext}_R^s(R/R_+, M)$, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{s-j+1}(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}_{R_+}^j(M)), 0 \leq j < s$, are *weakly Laskerian (see Definition 3.3), then $\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^s(M))$ is a finite set.

Section 4 is devoted to asymptotic behavior of the modules $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^i(M)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}_{R_+}^i(M))$. We examine the asymptotic stability of associated primes, the asymptotic stability of supports, and tameness of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}_{R_+}^i(M))$ for graded module M. This gives a generalization of the result that $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^f(M)$ is tame, where $f := f(M) = \inf\{i | \mathrm{H}_{R_+}^i(M)$ is not finitely generated $\}$ (cf. [BRS, Theorem 3.6(a)]). It is shown in [Br, Theorem 4.8 (e)] that $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^{\dim_R(M)}(M)$ is tame. We show that $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^h(M)$ is tame if $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^i(M)$ is Artinian R–module for all $i > h$. This, in particular, implies that $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^{\dim_R(M)-1}(M)$ is tame.

2. ATTACHED PRIMES

Let S be a commutative ring and K be an S–module. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of S is called an attached prime of K whenever there exists a submodule L of K such that $\mathfrak{p} = L :_S K$ (c.f. [MS]). Note that when K has a secondary representation $K = K_1 + ... + K_r$, say, then $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{0 : K_i}$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$. In this case the set of attached primes of K is uniquely defined (c.f. [BS, 7.2]). For the graded module M, if $\mathfrak{p}$ is an attached prime of M then it is straightforward to see that $\mathfrak{p}^*$, the homogeneous prime ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of $\mathfrak{p}$, is also an attached prime of M. As usual we denote by $^*\mathrm{Spec}\, R$ the set of all homogeneous prime ideal of R. For a subset T of $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$, we denote $^*T = T \cap {}^*\mathrm{Spec}\, R$. Note that if M is an Artinian graded R–module, then $^*\mathrm{Att}_R(M) = \mathrm{Att}_R(M)$ (cf. [Ri, corollary 1.6]). For the graded R–module M, denote by $c := \mathrm{cd}(M)$, the cohomological dimension of M with respect to R_+, i.e. $c = \sup\{i | \mathrm{H}_{R_+}^i(M) \neq 0\}$.

When M is a finitely generated R–module it is shown, in [BH, 6.2.7] and [Br, Proposition 3.4.(a)], that $c = \sup\{\dim_R(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M) | \mathfrak{m}_0$ is a maximal element of $\mathrm{Spec}\, R_0\}$.

In this section, we assume that M is a finitely generated graded R–module and that R_0 is not necessarily local and study the set $^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M))$. We will show that the set $\mathrm{Max}(^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M)))$, of maximal elements of $^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M))$ is a finite set if R_0 is local (see Corollary 2.2).

2.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that M is a finitely generated R–module. Then*

$$\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M))} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)), \mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathrm{Max}(R_0)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. We prove it in three steps.

Step 1. We show that $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)), \mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathrm{Max}(R_0)} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M))} \mathfrak{p}$. Note that, by definition of c, for any $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathrm{Max}(R_0)$, $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)$ is either zero or an Artinian graded R–module and thus, by [Ri, Corollary 1.6], each element of $\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M))$, if there exists any, is a homogeneous ideal. Choose $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathrm{Max}(R_0)$ with $c = \dim_R(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)$. By the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_0 M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M \longrightarrow 0$ we get an epimorphism $\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)$ and so $\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)) \subseteq {}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M))$. Thus our claim is clear.

Step 2. We prove that $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}_{R_+}^c(M))} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Supp}_R(M), \mathrm{cd}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = c} \mathfrak{p}$. Set $X = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M) | \mathrm{cd}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = c\}$. Thus there exists a graded submodule N of M such that $\mathrm{Ass}_R(M/N) = X$ and $\mathrm{Ass}_R(N) = \mathrm{Ass}_R(M) \setminus X$ (one may choose N to be a maximal element of the set $\{T | T$ is a graded submodule of M such that $\mathrm{Ass}_R T \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(M) \setminus X\}$ (see [Bo, page 263, Proposition 4] for non–graded case)). Consider the exact sequence $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N) \longrightarrow 0$ of graded modules. Note that $\mathrm{cd}(N) \leq c$ (c.f. [Br, Corollary 3.5]) and $\mathrm{Ass}_R(N) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(M)$.Therefore it follows that $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(N) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M) \cong \mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N)$. Now, choose r to be a homogeneous element of R such that $r \notin \bigcup\limits_{\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Supp}_R(M), \mathrm{cd}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = c} \mathfrak{p}$. It follows from definition of N that r is not a zero divisor on M/N. Consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M/N[-l] \xrightarrow{r} M/N \longrightarrow \frac{M/N}{r(M/N)} \longrightarrow 0, (l := \deg r)$, from which we have the exact sequence $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N)[-l] \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(\frac{M/N}{r(M/N)}) \longrightarrow 0$. If $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(\frac{M/N}{r(M/N)}) \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{cd}(\frac{M/N}{r(M/N)}) = c$ and so $\mathrm{cd}(R/\mathfrak{q}) = c$ for some $q \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(\frac{M/N}{r(M/N)})$. As $q \in {}^*\mathrm{Supp}_R(M)$ and $r \in \mathfrak{q}$, this gives a contradiction. Therefore we have $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N) = r\,\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N)$ which implies that $r \notin \cup_{\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N))} \mathfrak{p}$. This completes step 2 because $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M) \cong \mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/N)$.

Step 3. Finally we show $\bigcup\limits_{\mathrm{cd}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = c, \mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Supp}_R(M)} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathrm{Max}(R_0), \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M))} \mathfrak{p}$. Choose $\mathfrak{p} \in {}^*\mathrm{Supp}_R(M)$ with $\mathrm{cd}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = c$. Hence $\dim_R(\frac{R/\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{m}_0(R/\mathfrak{p})}) = c$ for some $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathrm{Max}(R_0)$ and so there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_0 R + \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ and $\dim_R(R/\mathfrak{q}) = c$. This implies that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M))$ (see [MS], or [DY1, Theorem A] for non–local case). This is step 3 and so the proof is complete. □

The following corollary is in contrast to the result [Br, Corollary 3.9] which states that $\mathrm{Min}(\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M)))$ is a finite set.

2.2. **Corollary.** *Assume that $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ is a local ring and that M is a finitely generated graded R–module. Then*

$$\mathrm{Max}({}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M))) = \mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M)).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Max}({}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M)))$ *is a finite set and it depends only on* $\mathrm{Supp}_R(M)$.

Proof. Note that the set $\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M))$ is a subset of the associated height of $M/\mathfrak{m}_0 M$ so that it is a finite set and there are no containment relations among its elements (c.f. [DY1, Theorem A]). Hence, by Theorem 2.1, and the right exactness of $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(-)$, we get the result. □

Now we present an example of a finitely generated graded module M such that ${}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M))$ is not a finite set. Assume that $R = R_0[X]$ is the polynomial ring over a Noetherian local ring R_0 with $\dim R_0 > 1$. As X is a non–zero divisor on R, it is trivial that $\mathrm{H}^1_{(X)}(R) \cong R_X/R$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{p}_0[X] \in^* \mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_{(X)}(R))$ for any $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathrm{Spec}\, R_0$ so that ${}^*\mathrm{Att}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_{(X)}(R))$ is an infinite set.

3. Associated Primes, non–graded and graded cases

For a graded R–module M, we study the set of associated primes of $\mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M)$. In this section we first bring some results for non–graded case and then we state them for our main purpose. We assume that S is a Noetherian ring, K is an S–module and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of S. The aim of the following result is to show that, for any non–negative integer t, the set of associated primes of $\mathrm{H}^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)$ depends on the set of the associated primes of some quotients of the modules $\mathrm{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{t-j+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)), 0 \leq j < t$ (compare with [DM, Theorem 2.5]).

3.1. **Theorem.** *Assume that S is a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of S and that K is an S–module. Then, for each non–negative integer t, there exist a submodule L of $\operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K)$ and submodules L_j of $\operatorname{Ext}^{t-j+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)), 0 \leq j < t$, such that*

$$\operatorname{Ass}_S(\mathrm{H}^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)) \subseteq \cup_{0\leq j<t} \operatorname{Ass}_S(\operatorname{Ext}^{t-j+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))/L_j) \cup \operatorname{Ass}_S(\operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K)/L).$$

Proof. The result is clear for $t = 0$. Assume that $t > 0$ and that $t - 1$ is settled. Note that $\mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(K) \cong \mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))$ for all $j > 0$. Assume that E is an injective hull of $K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)$ and set $N := E/(K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))$. Hence we have $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))$ for all $i \geq 0$. By our induction hypothesis, there exist submodules T and L_{j+1} of the appropriate modules such that

$$\operatorname{Ass}_S(\mathrm{H}^{t-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \subseteq \underset{0\leq j<t-1}{\cup} \operatorname{Ass}_S(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^{t-1-j+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))}{L_{j+1}}) \cup \operatorname{Ass}_S(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^{t-1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, N)}{T}). \tag{1}$$

Note that from the exact sequence $\operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K) \xrightarrow{f} \operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K)) \xrightarrow{g} \operatorname{Ext}^{t+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))$ we have the induced exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K)/f^{-1}(T) \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} \operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))/T \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} \operatorname{Ext}^{t+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))/g(T).$$

Therefore, there are submodules L and L_0 of the appropriate modules such that

$$\operatorname{Ass}_S(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))}{T}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_S(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^t_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, K)}{L}) \cup \operatorname{Ass}_S(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^{t+1}_S(S/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K))}{L_0}). \tag{2}$$

Now, (1) and (2) imply the claim. □

3.2. **Corollary.** *Assume that $R = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_i$ is a homogeneous Noetherian graded ring (i.e. $R = R_0[R_1]$) and M is a graded R–module. Then, for each non–negative integer s, there exist graded submodules L of $\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)$ and L_j of $\operatorname{Ext}^{s-j+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(M)), 0 \leq j < s$, such that*

$$\operatorname{Ass}_R(\mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M)) \subseteq \underset{0\leq j<s}{\cup} \operatorname{Ass}_R(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^{s-j+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(M))}{L_j}) \cup \operatorname{Ass}_R(\frac{\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)}{L}).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. One might take into consideration that in the proof we replace injective hull of $M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M)$ by *injective hull of $M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M)$ and note that all modules are graded and all homomorphisms are homogeneous. □

3.3. **Definition.** (see [DM, Definition 2.1]) A graded R–module M is called **weakly Laskerian* if for each graded submodule N of M, $\operatorname{Ass}_R(M/N)$ is a finite set.

3.4. **Corollary.** *Assume that R is a homogeneous Noetherian graded ring, M is a graded R–module and that s is a non–negative integer. If all the modules $\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)$ and*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{s-j+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(M)), 0 \leq j < s,$$

are *weakly Laskerian, then $\operatorname{Ass}_R(\mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ is a finite set.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.2. □

4. Asymptotic behaviors

Assume that M is a graded R–module. The module M is said to have the property of *asymptotic stability of associated primes* (resp. *asymptotic stability of supports*) if there exists an integer n_0 such that $\mathrm{Ass}_{R_0}(M_n) = \mathrm{Ass}_{R_0}(M_{n_0})$ for all $n \leq n_0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Supp}_{R_0}(M_n) = \mathrm{Supp}_{R_0}(M_{n_0})$ for all $n \leq n_0$). The module M is called *tame* if $M_i = 0$ for all $i \ll 0$ or else $M_i \neq 0$ for all $i \ll 0$. Here, we study the above asymptotic behaviors of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M))$. In this connection, there are three open problems.

4.1. **Problems.** (cf. [Br, Problems 6.1, Problem 7.1, and Problem 4.3]) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let M be a finitely generated graded R–module.

(i) $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ has the property of asymptotic stability of associated primes.
(ii) $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ has the property of asymptotic stability of supports.
(iii) $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ is tame.

Note that (i) implies (ii) and (ii) implies (iii). In this section we investigate the above questions for the module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M))$. We note that for a graded R–module M, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/R_+, M)$ has a natural grading and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/R_+, M) \cong {}^*\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/R_+, M)$ for all $i \geq 0$ (see [BS, Proposition 12.2.7]). We first note the following easy lemma.

4.2. **Lemma.** *Assume that R is a homogeneous Noetherian graded ring and that M is a graded R–module. Then the following statements hold.*
(i). If $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, M)$ is tame, then M is tame.
(ii). Assume that $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ is local and that $\mathfrak{m}^* := \mathfrak{m}_0 + R_+$ is the *maximal ideal of R. Assume that, for the graded R–module M, each component M_i is a finite R_0–module. If $M/\mathfrak{m}^*M$ is Artinian, then M is tame.

Proof. (i). Assume that there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, M)_n$ is either zero for all n with $n \leq n_0$ or is non–zero for all n with $n \leq n_0$. Now assume that $M_k = 0$ for some $k \leq n_0$. We show that $M_l = 0$ for all $l \leq k$. It is enough to show that $M_{k-1} = 0$. As $R_1 M_{k-1} \subseteq M_k = 0$, we have $M_{k-1} \subseteq 0 \underset{M_{k-1}}{:} R_+ \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, M)_{k-1} = 0$.

(ii). As $M/\mathfrak{m}^*M$ is Artinian it is also Noetherian and so $(M/\mathfrak{m}^*M)_k = 0$ for all $k \ll 0$. By Nakayama Lemma we get $M_k = R_1 M_{k-1}$ for all $k \ll 0$. This implies that M is tame. □

4.3. **Definition.** A graded module M over a homogeneous graded ring R is called *asymptotically zero* if $M_n = 0$ for all $n \ll 0$.

All finitely generated graded R–modules are asymptotically zero. Now, we are ready to present our main results of this section. We put these results in the following theorem.

4.4. **Theorem.** *Assume that M is a graded R–module and that s is a fixed non–negative integer such that the modules*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s-j}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(M)), \mathrm{Ext}^{s-j+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(M)), j = 0, 1, \cdots, s-1$$

are asymptotically zero (e.g. they might be finitely generated). Then the following statements hold.

(i) The module $\mathrm{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)$ has the property of asymptotic stability of associated primes if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ has the property of asymptotic stability of associated primes.

(ii) The module $\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)$ *has the property of asymptotic stability of supports if and only if* $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ *has the property of asymptotic stability of supports.*

(iii) The module $\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)$ *is tame if and only if* $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ *is tame.*

Proof. The proofs of (i), (ii), and (iii) are essentially similar therefore we give a proof for (iii) only. The proof is inspired by that of [DY2, Theorem 6.3.9]. The case $s = 0$ is trivial because we have $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \Gamma_{R_+}(M)) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, M)$. Assume that $s > 0$ and that the case $s-1$ is settled. Denote the *injective hull of $M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M)$ by $^*\!E$ and denote $N := {}^*\!E/(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$. Therefore we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow {}^*\!E \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0,$$

which implies the isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(N) \cong \mathrm{H}^{j+1}_{R_+}(M)$ and

$$(\dagger) \qquad \operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/R_+, N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{j+1}_R(R/R_+, M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$$

for all $j \geq 0$ (note that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, {}^*\!E) = 0 = \Gamma_{R_+}({}^*\!E))$. Thus, for all $j \geq 0$, we have the isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{s-1-j}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(N)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{s-(j+1)}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^{j+1}_{R_+}(M))$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{s-1-j+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(N)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{s-(j+1)+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^{j+1}_{R_+}(M)).$$

Therefore, for all $j = 0, \cdots, s-2$, the modules

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{s-1-j}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(N)), \operatorname{Ext}^{s-1-j+1}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^j_{R_+}(N))$$

are asymptotically zero. We now prepare the requirement for the induction step $s-1$ for N. By the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow 0$ we have the exact sequence of graded modules with homogeneous homomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(\tfrac{R}{R_+}, \Gamma_{R_+}(M)) \to \operatorname{Ext}^s_R(\tfrac{R}{R_+}, M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^s_R(\tfrac{R}{R_+}, \tfrac{M}{\Gamma_{R_+}(M)}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{s+1}_R(\tfrac{R}{R_+}, \Gamma_{R_+}(M)).$$

By our hypothesis for s and $j = 0$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the modules $\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, \Gamma_{R_+}(M))_n$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{s+1}_R(R/R_+, \Gamma_{R_+}(M))_n$ are zero for all $n \leq t$. Therefore, for each $n \leq t$, we have an R_0–isomorphism

$$(\dagger\dagger) \qquad \operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)_n \cong \operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))_n.$$

Now, it follows, from (†) and (††), that $\operatorname{Ext}^s_R(R/R_+, M)$ is tame if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}^{s-1}_R(R/R_+, N)$ is tame, which is also equivalent to say that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^{s-1}_{R_+}(N))$ is tame, by our induction hypothesis. This statement is also equivalent to say $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ is tame. □

4.5. **Corollary.** (see [Br, Theorem 4.8(b)] and [DY3, Theorem 2.1]) *Assume that M is a finitely generated graded R–module and that s is a fixed non–negative integer such that for each $i < s$, $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ is R_+–cofinite, i.e. $\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M))$ is finitely generated for all j. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ is asymptotically zero. In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/R_+, \mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M))$ is finitely generated and so $\mathrm{H}^s_{R_+}(M)$ is tame.* □

Let M be a finitely generated R–module and $n := \dim_R(M)$. In [Br, Theorem 4.8 (e)], Brodmann showed that $\mathrm{H}^c_{R_+}(M)$ is tame. This clearly implies that $\mathrm{H}^n_{R_+}(M)$ is tame (this is also a consequence of the fact that $\mathrm{H}^n_{R_+}(M)$ is an Artinian module). Brodmann also showed that $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ is tame for all $i \in \Psi(M)$, where $\Psi(M) = \{\operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{p}/(0 :_R M)) | \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Min}((0 :_R M)) + R_+\}$ (see [Br, Theorem 4.8 (d)]). Note that when R_0 is a field and $M = R_0[x]$, we have $\Psi(M) = \{\dim_R(M)\}$. Therefore it would be significant to see explicitly that $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}_{R_+}(M)$ is tame. This result is a consequence of a more general one (see Corollary 4.8).

In the remainder of this section we assume that M is a graded module over the homogeneous Noetherian graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_i$.

4.6. **Definition.** (See [DY3, Definition 3.1]) Define $q(M) := \sup\{i | H^i_{R_+}(M)$ is not Artinian$\}$. If $H^i_{R_+}(M)$ is Artinian for all i, we write $q(M) = -\infty$.

4.7. **Theorem.** *Assume that the base ring R_0 is local with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_0$ and that M is a finitely generated graded R–module. Then*

$$\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)$$

is Artinian.

Proof. Set $n(M) := \mathrm{cd}(M) - q(M)$ and we prove our claim by using induction on $n(M)$. When $n(M) = 0$ the result is known (c.f. [Br, Theorem 2.3 (b)]) because, in this case, $\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/\mathfrak{m}_0 \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)$. Assume that $n(M) = n > 0$ and we have proved the statement for any finitely generated graded R–module N with $n(N) = n-1$. Thus we have $\mathrm{cd}(M) > 0$. We may assume that $q(M) > 0$. Therefore, $q(M) = q(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$, $\mathrm{cd}(M) = \mathrm{cd}(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$, and $n(M) = n(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$. Hence we may assume that M is R_+–torsion free and there exists $x \in R_1$ which is non-zero-divisor on M and $\mathrm{cd}(M/xM) = \mathrm{cd}(M) - 1$ (c.f. [RS, 1.3.7]). The short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M[-1] \xrightarrow{x} M \longrightarrow M/xM \longrightarrow 0$ yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)[-1] \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q(M)+1}_{R_+}(M)[-1] \longrightarrow \cdots$$

from which we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/x\,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM) \longrightarrow (0 \underset{\mathrm{H}^{q(M)+1}_{R_+}(M)}{:} x)[-1] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}^R_1(R/\mathfrak{m}^*, (0 \underset{\mathrm{H}^{q(M)+1}_{R_+}(M)}{:} x))[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M) \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM) \longrightarrow (0 \underset{\mathrm{H}^{q(M)+1}_{R_+}(M)}{:} x)/\mathfrak{m}^*(0 \underset{\mathrm{H}^{q(M)+1}_{R_+}(M)}{:} x)[-1].$$

Note that the first and the last term in the above exact sequence are Artinian modules. If $\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)$ is Artinian then $\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)$ is Artinian and so

$$\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)$$

is also Artinian. Now, we assume that $\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)$ is not Artinian. It follows that $q(M/xM) = q(M)$ and hence $n(M/xM) = \mathrm{cd}(M/xM) - q(M/xM) = n(M) - 1$. By our induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M/xM)$ is Artinian. By the above exact sequence, the module

$$\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)/\mathfrak{m}^* \,\mathrm{H}^{q(M)}_{R_+}(M)$$

is Artinian. □

4.8. **Corollary.** *With the assumptions as in Theorem 4.7,* $\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(M)$ *is tame for all* $i \geq q(M)$*. In particular, the modules* $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{cd}(M)}_{R_+}(M)$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^{\dim_R(M)-1}_{R_+}(M)$ *are tame.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{H}^{\dim_R(M)}_{R_+}(M)$ is Artinian (c.f. [BS, Theorem 7.1.6]). The claims follow by Theorem 4.7 and Lemma 4.2(ii). □

Acknowledgment The first author would like to express his thank to Professor Jürgen Herzog for his informative discussion about graded modules during his visit to Mathematics Department in University of Duisburg-Essen. The authors would like to thank the referee for her/his comments.

References

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra*, Translated from the french. Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1972.

[Br] M. Brodmann, *Asymptotic behavior of cohomology : Tameness, Supports and Associated Primes*, to appear in Contemporary Mathematics: Proceedings of the international conference in Mathematics, Bangalore / India, December, 2003.

[BH] M. Brodmann and M. Hellus, *Cohomological pattern of cohherent sheaves over projective schemes*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **172** (2002) 165–182.

[BL] M. Brodmann and A. F. Lashgari, *A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000) 2851–2853.

[BRS] M.P. Brodmann, F. Rohrer and K. Sazeedeh, *Multiplicities of graded components of local cohomology modules*, Journal of pure and Applied Algebra **197** (2005) 249–278.

[BS] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology : an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **60**, Cambridge University press (1998).

[DY1] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, *Attached primes of the top local cohomology modules with respect to an ideal,* Arch. Math. 84(2005), 292–297.

[DY2] M.T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, *Associated primes of the local cohomology modules*, published in the book "Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra" Edited by P. Goeters and O. M. G. Jenda, "volume **249**, Lecture Note in Pure and Applied Mathematics", Taylor & Francis group (2006).

[DY3] M.T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, *Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules,* manuscripta math. **117** (2005), 199–205.

[DM] K. Divaani-Aazar and A. Mafi, *Associated primes of local cohomology modules,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2004), 655–660.

[MS] I. G. Macdonald and R. y. Sharp, *An elementary proof of the non–vanishing of certain local cohomology modules,* Quart. J. Math. Oxford **23**(1972), 197–204.

[Ri] A.S. Richardson, *Attached primes of graded modules,* Comm.Alg.**31** (2003), 2603–2613.

[RS] C. Rotthaus and L.M. Şega, *Some properties of graded local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra **283** (2005), 232–247.